



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,854	03/11/2004	James N. Elesh	201300-9031	6666
JAMES N. ELESH 2500 MCDANIEL AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
EVANSTON, IL 60201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

f ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,854	ELESH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/798,854 Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. With respect to Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9 and 10: These claims depend from non-existent claims, therefore the examiner is unclear what the scope of these claims are and a lack of prior art rejection does not indicate that these claims are allowable.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number:

10/798,854 Art Unit: 3629

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claim 1, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (US 2002/0117405).
- 7. With respect to Claim 1: Wang discloses a method of supplying a product from a first party to a second party (see abstract) comprising:
  - a. the first party providing a first portion of the product (manufacturing line, with primary packaging, Paragraphs 0019 and 0034);
  - b. the first party providing a second portion of the product, the second portion having one of a plurality of decorative qualities (printing line, secondary packaging with package insert of the doctor and patient information, the insert and/or label being applied to the first portion of the product, Paragraph 0019 and 0034);
  - c. the first party supplying a plurality of the second portions of the product to a distributor, the plurality of second portions including more than one of the plurality of decorative qualities, the first party shipping a first portion to the distributor after supplying a plurality of the second portions of the product to the distributor in a first compartment of a two-compartment box (see Paragraph 0019) and the distributor selecting one of the plurality of decorative second portions and packaging it in a box along with the first portion shipped from the manufacturer and supplying the boxed first and second portions to the second party (Wang discloses after the manufacturing line produces the product, and the printing line produces the labels, they are stored separately,

10/798,854 Art Unit: 3629

then matched, packaged together, then shipped to the customer, See Paragraphs 0034 and 0036).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 2002/0117405).
- 11. With respect to Claim 8: Wang discloses a method of supplying a product from a manufacturer to a supplier, the first party supplying a plurality of the second portions of the product to a distributor, the plurality of second portions including more than one of the plurality of decorative qualities, the first party shipping a first portion to the distributor after supplying a plurality of the second portions of the product to the distributor in a first compartment of a two-

compartment box (see Paragraph 0019) and the distributor selecting one of the plurality of decorative second portions and packaging it in a box along with the first portion shipped from the manufacturer and supplying the boxed first and second portions to the second party (Wang discloses after the manufacturing line produces the product, and the printing line produces the labels, they are stored separately, then matched, packaged together, then shipped to the customer, See Paragraphs 0034 and 0036).

12. Wang, however fails to disclose the product being a pet bed where the first portion is a pillow and the second portion is a decorative cover for the pillow. However, the specific type of product and covering is deemed to be nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited and is drawn to an intended use of the method of supplying a product. All steps would be performed the same regardless of what type of product is being manufactured or supplied, distributed and shipped. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F .2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed.Cir.1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F .3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

### **Conclusion**

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Barad et al. (6,206,750) discloses the use of personalizing or customizing dolls with accessories and specialized inserts.

10/798,854

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Plucinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jp

AMISUE PLUCINSKI